Role of the anterior cingulate cortex in the control over behaviour by Pavlovian conditioned stimuli in rats
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Abstract. The anterior cingulate cortex (Ant Cing) in the rat has previously been shown to be critical for the acquisition of autoshaping, a measure of Pavlovian conditioning in which animals come to approach a conditioned stimulus (CS+) that predicts food delivery, and not to approach a second, nonpredictive stimulus (CS−). Here we demonstrate that Ant Cing lesions do not impair the acquisition of temporally discriminated approach to a single magazine light CS that predicts food. Lesioned animals were able to respond instrumentally for this CS, now acting as a conditioned reinforcer, and the potentiation of responding by intra-accumbens amphetamine was unaffected. Lesioned rats also acquired a normal freezing response to a discrete CS paired with footshock. However, these same subjects were impaired at autoshaping. A second group of Ant Cing-lesioned rats were tested on a Pavlovian–instrumental transfer task, in which an appetitive CS potentiates ongoing instrumental responding, and no impairment was found.

These results suggested that the Ant Cing is only critical for the normal expression of appetitive conditioning when multiple stimuli must be discriminated or disambiguated on the basis of their association with reward. To test this hypothesis, a third group of Ant Cing-lesioned rats were trained on a temporally discriminated approach task using two stimuli (a CS+ and a CS−). In support of the disambiguation hypothesis, while sham-operated controls approached the source of food during the CS+ more than during the CS−, Ant Cing-lesioned rats failed to discriminate, approaching equally during both stimuli.
Introduction

- The anterior cingulate cortex (Ant Cing) is a major cortical component of the ‘limbic loop’ of the basal ganglia. It has previously been implicated in stimulus–reward learning in the rat (Bussey et al., 1997a; Bussey et al., 1996; Bussey et al., 1997b) and other rodents (e.g. Gabriel et al., 1991; Gabriel & Orona, 1982).

- However, the exact contribution that the Ant Cing makes to processes of stimulus–reward learning and Pavlovian conditioning is not well understood.

- Therefore, rats with lesions of the Ant Cing were tested on a range of tasks to which some aspect of stimulus–reinforcer learning was expected to contribute, namely:

  approach to an appetitive conditioned stimulus (CS);

  conditioned reinforcement, in which subjects work for a Pavlovian CS previously paired with reward;

  autoshaping, in which subjects approach a CS predictive of food, despite this taking them away from the food source itself;

  fear conditioning, as assessed by the conditioned freezing response, which is a species-specific defence reaction to a CS that has gained aversive properties;

  Pavlovian–instrumental transfer, in which an appetitive CS presented noncontingently enhances ongoing instrumental responding.
1. Autoshaping

In the autoshaping procedure used, two stimuli are presented, a CS+ and a CS–; only the CS+ predicts food. Normal subjects come to approach the CS+, even though this takes them away from the food source, but they do not approach the CS–.

**Methods**
- Twenty-two food-restricted male hooded Lister rats received lesions of perigenual anterior cingulate cortex (ACCX group, final $n = 8$) or sham lesions ($n = 10$), with all animals additionally receiving cannulae aimed at the nucleus accumbens.
- Lesion coordinates from bregma were AP +1.2, ML ±0.5, DV –3.0 and –2.2; AP +0.5, ML ±0.5, DV –2.8 and –2.0; AP –0.2, ML ±0.5, DV –2.5 and –2.0.
- Autoshaping was assessed in a testing chamber with a computer monitor on one wall and a centrally-located pellet dispenser.
- Subjects were trained to associate stimuli with the delivery of 45-mg sucrose pellets. The stimuli were 8 × 18 cm white vertical rectangles displayed on the left and right of the screen for 10 s. One was designated the CS+ and the other the CS–, counterbalanced across subjects.
- When the rat was located centrally at the rear of the chamber, a stimulus was presented. The CS+ was always followed by delivery of one food pellet; the CS– was never followed by food.
- Activation of a pressure-sensitive floor panel in front of a stimulus was scored as approach.
- A trial consisted of a presentation of the CS+ and one of the CS–, separated by a variable interval of at least 10 s. Rats were trained over two days with 50 trials per day.

**Anterior cingulate cortex lesions impaired the acquisition of autoshaping.**

Shams came to approach the CS+ more than the CS–. This discriminated approach response was significantly impaired in lesioned rats.

The data shown above are replotted as difference scores (CS+ approaches minus CS– approaches); there is a significant impairment in the ACCX group. (* $p < .05$. A difference score of 0 implies no discrimination.)
2. Temporally discriminated approach to an appetitive CS

Rats with lesions of the anterior cingulate cortex were conditioned to approach a single CS that predicted food reward.

Methods

- The subjects were those used in the autoshaping acquisition experiment (n = 8 ACCX, 10 sham).
- The task was conducted in operant chambers equipped with a houselight and a food alcove. The alcove contained a traylight, a dipper (which could be elevated to deliver 0.05 ml of 10% sucrose solution), and an infrared detector to record nosepoking behaviour.
- In the variable interval (VI) phase, lasting 30–90 s, the houselight was on and the traylight was off. The dipper was not elevated.
- In the conditioned stimulus (CS) phase, lasting 5 s, the houselight was turned off and the traylight illuminated.
- Immediately following the CS, the unconditioned stimulus (US) was delivered: the houselight was turned back on, the traylight was turned off, and the dipper was elevated for 5 s to deliver food reward.
- Subjects received 30 CS–US pairings per session, with one session per day.

Anterior cingulate cortex lesions did not impair approach to the CS.

Both groups learned to approach the alcove during presentation of the CS. There were no differences between lesioned and sham groups. Thus, the same animals that were impaired at autoshaping performed normally in this task.

Top left: Approach to the food alcove during CS presentation.

Top right: Approach during the interval (VI).

Bottom left: A discrimination ratio — the proportion of approach behaviour occurring during the CS. Sham and ACCX groups did not differ in any respect.
3. A two-stimulus discriminated approach task

As ACC lesions impaired autoshaping despite leaving temporally discriminated approach (a very similar task) intact, a new task was designed to establish the critical behavioural difference:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Number of stimuli</th>
<th>Approach behaviour measured</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>temporally discriminated approach</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>approach to CS and food source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>autoshaping</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>approach to CS, not food source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>two-stimulus discriminated approach</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>approach to food source, not CS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Methods
- Naïve rats received lesions of the Ant Cing (n = 12) or sham lesions (n = 12). Final group sizes were 10 (ACCX) and 12 (sham).
- The task was conducted in the operant chambers. Lights on the wall to the left and right of the food alcove were designated the CS+ and CS–, counterbalanced across rats.
- Initially, the houselight was on and the dipper was lowered. Following a VI of 30–90 s, the houselight was extinguished and a stimulus light was illuminated for 5 s. Following presentation of the CS+, the houselight was illuminated and the dipper raised for 5 s to deliver 10% sucrose solution (the US). Following the CS–, the houselight was illuminated but the dipper was not raised, and a brief click was generated. Regardless of the stimulus, the chamber was then in the VI state and remained so until the next stimulus.
- One trial consisted of a presentation of the CS+ and one of the CS–, in randomized order. A session consisted of 15 trials, after which the houselight was extinguished. Subjects were trained for 12 sessions on the task, with one session per day.

Anterior cingulate cortex lesions impaired approach behaviour based on a discrimination between two stimuli.

Top left: Number of stimulus presentations during which the food alcove was approached at least once. Top right: Difference scores (CS+ minus CS–) for the data shown in A, showing the significant, though impermanent, impairment in the ACCX group. Bottom left/right: Proportion of time spent approaching the food alcove during CS+ and CS–. The sham group developed discrimination, but the ACCX group did not.
4. Pavlovian–instrumental transfer

Pavlovian–instrumental transfer is the phenomenon by which Pavlovian conditioned stimuli, presented noncontingently, alter the rate of ongoing instrumental responding.

**Methods**

- Subjects were those from the autoshaping performance study, except for two that fell ill (final \( n = 9 \) ACCX, 6 sham).
- The task was conducted in the same apparatus used for the temporally discriminated approach and conditioned reinforcement tasks, which was new to the subjects. The method is based on Balleine (1994).
- Two stimuli (a 3-Hz flashing light and a 10-Hz relay clicker) were designated CS+ and NEUT, counterbalanced across rats. A houselight was illuminated throughout.
- **Pavlovian training.** Eight training sessions were given. Each session contained six 2-min presentations of the CS, during which reinforcement (45-mg sucrose pellet) was delivered on a random time (RT) 30-s schedule. Stimulus presentations were separated by an interstimulus interval (ISI) of 2–4 min, during which no reinforcement was given. In the final session, two 2-min presentations of the NEUT stimulus were also given unreinforced, to reduce unconditioned suppression when this stimulus was subsequently presented during the test phase.
- **Instrumental training.** Instrumental training was conducted in eight 30-min sessions with a single lever present. Responding was reinforced on a random interval (RI) schedule, whose parameter in subsequent sessions was 2, 15, 30, and thereafter 60 s.
- **Instrumental extinction.** A single 30-min session was given in which the lever was available but unreinforced.
- **Transfer test.** The transfer test was conducted over two sessions with the lever present but never reinforced. In each session, the CS, NEUT and ISI were presented four times each; they all lasted 2 min and were randomised in triplets.

**Anterior cingulate cortex lesions did not impair Pavlovian–instrumental transfer.**

Both groups acquired the instrumental response at the same rate, and no difference emerged in the extinction session.

On test, the CS (but not the neutral stimulus) reliably elevated responding; this is the Pavlovian–instrumental transfer effect. This effect was seen in both the sham and the ACCX groups, which did not differ.
5. Conditioned reinforcement

Subjects acquired a new response with conditioned reinforcement, using the CS from the temporally discriminated approach task as the conditioned reinforcer. Amphetamine or vehicle was injected into the nucleus accumbens during the test.

Methods

- Subjects were those from the temporally discriminated approach and autoshaping acquisition experiments.
- Accumbens cannulae had final target coordinates of AP +1.6, ML ±1.5, DV −7.0 from dura. Final n: 6 (ACCX), 10 (sham).
- The conditioned reinforcement test was conducted in the same operant chambers used for the temporally discriminated approach task.
- A session began when the subject nosepoked in the food alcove and lasted 30 minutes.
- Two levers were introduced into the chamber. Responding on one (the CRf lever) produced an abbreviated version of the CS from the previous task, with probability 0.5. The abbreviated CS consisted of extinguishing the houselight and illuminating the tray-light for 0.5 s, together with raising the empty dipper. No primary reinforcement was ever given in this task. Responding on the other (NCRf) lever had no programmed consequence and was used as a control for general activity.
- The conditioned reinforcement test was conducted with intra-accumbens amphetamine. Immediately before each session began, one of 4 doses of D-amphetamine sulphate (0, 3, 10 and 20 µg in a 1-µl volume of 0.1 M sterile phosphate buffer, pH 7.4) was administered bilaterally. Doses were counterbalanced in a Latin square design.

Anterior cingulate cortex lesions did not impair conditioned reinforcement, or its potentiation by intra-accumbens amphetamine.

Subjects responded more on the CRf lever than on the NCRf lever, indicating that the CS had conditioned reinforcing properties. Intra-accumbens amphetamine selectively increased responding for the conditioned reinforcer. However, there were no differences in responding between ACCX and sham groups.
6. Discrete fear conditioning

The subjects used in the conditioned reinforcement study were given a final test: they were trained to associate a clicker CS with footshock. In a different context, the CS was again played, and the conditioned freezing response was measured.

Methods

- Subjects were those from the previous study (*n* = 8 ACCX, 10 sham).
- Fear conditioning was carried out using two very different experimental contexts, termed Light and Dark. On days 1–3 of the experiment, subjects were pre-exposed to each context. On day 4, they were placed in the Dark context, where they received 5 presentations of a 10-s, 10-Hz clicker CS terminating in a shock of 0.5 mA lasting 0.5 s. On day 5, subjects were placed in the Light context and their behaviour was videotaped. After 5 min of CS absence, the clicker CS was played continuously for 10 min. Freezing activity was assessed by scoring the tapes in 5-s activity bins, using a stringent criterion: if and only if the animal was motionless apart from respiratory movements for the full 5 s, the bin was scored as ‘freezing’.

Anterior cingulate cortex lesions did not impair conditioned freezing.

Subjects showed no freezing behaviour when placed in the testing chamber, but exhibited robust freezing when the aversive CS was played. There were no differences between sham and ACCX groups.

Summary of results

In rats, lesions of the anterior cingulate cortex had highly specific effects. They

- **impaired** the acquisition of autoshaping, a task in which stimuli are not located at the source of food, and in which subjects approach a CS+ that predicts food (but do not approach a perceptually similar CS− that does not);

- **did not impair** the acquisition of a temporally discriminated approach response to a single appetitive CS that was located at the source of food;

- **impaired** the acquisition of a two-stimulus discriminated approach task, in which subjects approached the food source when stimuli were presented at another location; a CS+ and a CS− were used, and they differed only in location.

In addition, the lesions **did not impair**

- Pavlovian–instrumental transfer;

- the acquisition of a new response with conditioned reinforcement (using a single conditioned reinforcing stimulus);

- the potentiation of responding for conditioned reinforcement by intra-accumbens amphetamine;

- conditioned freezing to an aversive discrete CS.
Discussion and conclusions

- The present results replicate the finding that autoshaping is impaired following anterior cingulate lesions (Bussey et al., 1997a; Parkinson et al., 1996).

- However, the present results also indicate that the Ant Cing is not required for the development of appetitive or aversive Pavlovian conditioning per se, as lesioned subjects were unimpaired on a range of other tasks assessing conditioning.

- Therefore, the deficit induced by Ant Cing lesions must be more specific. We suggest that the Ant Cing is required for discriminating multiple stimuli on the basis of their association with reward.

- According to this hypothesis (Parkinson et al., 2000a), Ant Cing-lesioned rats can form an affective response to conditioned stimuli, discriminating CS presence from absence; they can also call up an affective representation of the US, and so acquire new responses with conditioned reinforcement. However, CS specificity of the representations is impaired; as a result, tasks that depend upon stimulus–reinforcer associations when those stimuli are difficult to discriminate require the Ant Cing (including autoshaping, and 8-pair concurrent visual discrimination; Bussey et al., 1997b).

- A functional connection between the Ant Cing and the nucleus accumbens core is required for Pavlovian conditioned approach behaviour (Parkinson et al., 2000b). According to the present hypothesis, the Ant Cing disambiguates the stimulus for the rest of the limbic circuit described, involving the amygdala and nucleus accumbens (see figure).
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