

Neuropsychology of reinforcement processes in the rat

*A dissertation submitted for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy*

Rudolf Nicholas Cardinal
St John's College, Cambridge

January 2001

Department of Experimental Psychology
University of Cambridge
Downing Street
Cambridge CB2 3EB
United Kingdom

To Ann & John

'How the purer Spirit is united to this clod, is a knot too hard for fallen Humanity to untie.'

Joseph Glanvill (1661), *The Vanity of Dogmatizing*.

'It ain't necessarily so.'

Ira Gershwin and DuBose Heyward (1935), *Porgy and Bess*.

Contents

<i>Preface</i>	11
<i>Abstract</i>	12
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	14
<i>Publications</i>	15
Abstracts	15
Papers and book chapters	15
<i>Abbreviations used in this thesis</i>	16
CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION	19
OVERVIEW	19
PSYCHOLOGICAL MECHANISMS FOR ACTION	19
<i>Unlearned behaviour</i>	19
<i>Pavlovian conditioning</i>	20
Representations formed during Pavlovian conditioning	20
<i>Goal-directed behaviour</i>	23
Instrumental contingency	24
Incentive value	24
Hedonic assessment: an implied, distinct valuation process	24
Discriminative stimuli	25
<i>Stimulus-response habits</i>	25
<i>Pavlovian to instrumental transfer</i>	26
Response-specific potentiation	26
General potentiation of behaviour	26
Simple transfer tasks	28
<i>Summary</i>	28
CONDITIONED REINFORCEMENT	30
<i>Validity of conditioned reinforcement as a concept</i>	30
<i>Relationship of conditioned reinforcement to other processes controlling instrumental performance</i>	31
NEURAL DISSOCIATIONS WITHIN ASSOCIATIVE LEARNING	32
<i>Overview of the limbic corticostriatal circuits considered in this thesis</i>	32
<i>Interpretation of lesion studies</i>	34
<i>A summary of candidate neural structures involved in Pavlovian conditioning</i>	36
Stimulus representation: sensory thalamus, primary and higher-order sensory cortices	36
The amygdala	36
Conditioning of simple CS–UR skeletal responses with high temporal precision: the cerebellum	40
The anterior cingulate cortex and stimulus–reinforcer associations	41
Expression of Pavlovian conditioning: amygdala–accumbens and cingulate–accumbens interactions	42
The anterior cingulate cortex: unanswered questions	43
<i>A summary of candidate neural structures that influence instrumental performance</i>	43
Instrumental performance: outside the ventral striatum	43
The nucleus accumbens, dopamine, and the impact of Pavlovian conditioned stimuli	46
DELAYED REINFORCEMENT	51

<i>Delayed reinforcement in learning</i>	52
<i>Choice, and pathological choice, from the perspective of utility theory</i>	52
Utility theory.....	52
Pathological choice in the context of utility theory.....	53
Views of choice in the brain	54
<i>Impulsivity and impulsive choice</i>	56
<i>Delayed reinforcement in choice</i>	57
<i>Neurochemical and neuroanatomical studies of delayed reinforcement</i>	58
Serotonin (5-HT).....	58
Dopamine and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD)	59
The prospect of delineating neural circuitry involved in choice of delayed reward.....	59
<i>Tasks used to study choice of delayed reinforcement</i>	60
Conditioned reinforcement in choice of delayed rewards	61
ORGANIZATION OF EXPERIMENTAL WORK IN THIS THESIS	61
CHAPTER 2. GENERAL METHODS	63
SUBJECTS AND HOUSING CONDITIONS	63
SURGERY.....	63
General surgical technique	63
Excitotoxic lesions	63
Implantation of bilateral intracranial cannulae	65
HISTOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT	66
Perfusion and tissue fixation	66
Nissl staining with cresyl violet	66
Immunocytochemical staining for neuronal nuclei.....	66
DEFINITION OF REINFORCEMENT SCHEDULES	67
BEHAVIOURAL APPARATUS	67
DATA ANALYSIS	68
CHAPTER 3. ROLE OF THE ANTERIOR CINGULATE CORTEX IN THE CONTROL OVER BEHAVIOUR BY PAVLOVIAN CONDITIONED STIMULI.....	70
INTRODUCTION	70
Delineation and connections of the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) in the rat	70
Involvement of the rat ACC in stimulus–reinforcer association	73
Lesion methods and sites within the ACC.....	75
EXPERIMENT 1: EFFECTS OF ACC LESIONS ON TEMPORALLY DISCRIMINATED APPROACH, RESPONDING FOR CONDITIONED REINFORCEMENT, AND FEAR CONDITIONING TO A DISCRETE CUE	76
Methods.....	76
Overview	76
Temporally discriminated approach	76
Acquisition of a new response with conditioned reinforcement	76
Intracranial infusion during conditioned reinforcement test.....	77
Autoshaping.....	77
Sucrose consumption.....	78
Locomotor activity in a novel environment	78

Fear conditioning to a discrete cue	79
<i>Results</i>	79
Histology	79
Temporally discriminated approach	84
Responding for conditioned reinforcement.....	85
Autoshaping.....	86
Sucrose consumption.....	87
Locomotor activity in a novel environment	88
Freezing to an aversive CS	88
Summary	88
<i>Discussion</i>	89
EXPERIMENT 2: EFFECT OF ACC LESIONS ON AUTOSHAPING PERFORMANCE.....	92
<i>Methods</i>	92
<i>Results</i>	92
Histology	92
Pre-operative acquisition	94
Post-operative performance	94
Probe test.....	95
Omission test	96
Locomotor activity in a novel environment	96
Summary	96
<i>Discussion</i>	97
EXPERIMENT 3: EFFECTS OF ACC LESIONS ON ‘SIMPLE’ PAVLOVIAN–INSTRUMENTAL TRANSFER	100
<i>Methods</i>	100
Subjects	100
Simple Pavlovian to instrumental transfer	100
<i>Results</i>	101
Pavlovian training	101
Instrumental training	101
Transfer test	102
<i>Discussion</i>	102
EXPERIMENT 4: EFFECTS OF ACC LESIONS ON A TWO-STIMULUS DISCRIMINATED APPROACH TASK.....	103
<i>Methods</i>	104
Overview	104
Two-stimulus temporally discriminated approach task.....	104
Two-stimulus test of conditioned reinforcement	104
<i>Results</i>	104
Histology	104
Two-stimulus discriminated approach task	108
Two-stimulus conditioned reinforcement test	111
Summary	112
<i>Discussion</i>	112
GENERAL DISCUSSION	113
<i>Contribution of the ACC to instrumental and Pavlovian behaviour</i>	113

<i>A time-limited role for the ACC?</i>	113
<i>Synthesis: a suggested role for the ACC in 'disambiguating' stimuli for its corticostriatal circuit.....</i>	115
<i>Interactions of the ACC with the amygdala and perirhinal cortex</i>	117
<i>Comparison with other interventional studies in rodents.....</i>	118
<i>Homology between rodent and primate ACC</i>	120
<i>Interventional studies in primates.....</i>	122
<i>Correlational studies in humans.....</i>	122
Emotional states, emotionally significant stimuli, and mood	122
Attention, conflict monitoring, error detection, and action selection	123
<i>Relating human and rodent studies.....</i>	127
CHAPTER 4. ROLE OF THE NUCLEUS ACCUMBENS CORE AND SHELL IN PAVLOVIAN-INSTRUMENTAL TRANSFER	128
INTRODUCTION	128
METHODS	129
'Response-specific' and 'general' Pavlovian–instrumental transfer tests	129
RESULTS	131
Histology	131
Pavlovian training	138
Instrumental training	138
Response-specific PIT	139
Retraining	141
General PIT	142
DISCUSSION	144
The psychological basis of response-specific PIT	144
The contribution of the Acb to PIT	145
The relationship between PIT and conditioned reinforcement	146
CHAPTER 5. LOCAL ANALYSIS OF BEHAVIOUR IN THE ADJUSTING-DELAY TASK FOR ASSESSING CHOICE OF DELAYED REINFORCEMENT	148
INTRODUCTION	148
EXPERIMENT	149
<i>Methods.....</i>	149
Subjects	149
Adjusting-delay technique for assessing choice with delayed reinforcement	149
Analysis of behavioural data	150
<i>Results.....</i>	152
COMPUTER SIMULATIONS	156
<i>Methods.....</i>	156
<i>Results.....</i>	159
Local analysis of the simulated decision rules	159
Achievement of stability criteria by a delay-independent decision rule	163
Effect of bias on dB' using a delay-independent decision rule	164
DISCUSSION	164
<i>Interpretation of cross-correlational analysis</i>	164

<i>Stability does not imply sensitivity to the adjusting delay</i>	166
<i>Possible reasons for the present failure to observe sensitivity to dB</i>	166
<i>Effects of manipulations that alter subjects' preferences in a delay-independent manner.....</i>	167
Effects of extrinsic manipulations.....	167
Effects of dA on dB'	167
<i>Comparison to free-operant schedules of reinforcement.....</i>	168
SUMMARY	169
CHAPTER 6. THE EFFECTS OF D-AMPHETAMINE, CHLORDIAZEPOXIDE, ALPHA-FLUPENTHIXOL AND BEHAVIOURAL MANIPULATIONS ON CHOICE OF SIGNALLED AND UNSIGNALLED DELAYED REINFORCEMENT.....	170
INTRODUCTION	170
METHODS.....	171
Subjects, apparatus, and behavioural task.....	171
Systematic technique for assessment of preference for delayed reinforcement	172
Pharmacological and behavioural manipulations	174
Statistical analysis.....	176
RESULTS.....	176
1. Acquisition and baseline performance	176
Acquisition of sensitivity to delay.....	176
Effect of cues on speed of acquisition	176
2. Baseline performance.....	176
Effect of cues on choice (between-subjects comparison).....	176
Omissions and latencies	177
3. Pharmacological manipulations.....	178
Effects of d-amphetamine.....	179
Effects of chlordiazepoxide	181
Effects of α -flupenthixol.....	183
4. Behavioural manipulations.....	185
Omission of delays.....	185
Effect of cues on choice (within-subjects comparison).....	186
Effects of prefeeding	187
Descending delays.....	188
Extinction	189
DISCUSSION.....	190
Task validation	190
Role of signals present during the delay	191
Effects of d-amphetamine	192
Effects of chlordiazepoxide.....	193
Effects of alpha-flupenthixol.....	194
Conclusions	194
CHAPTER 7. CONTRIBUTIONS OF LIMBIC AND PREFRONTAL CIRCUITRY TO CHOICE OF DELAYED REINFORCEMENT.....	195
INTRODUCTION	195

EXPERIMENT 1. EFFECTS OF LESIONS OF THE ANTERIOR CINGULATE CORTEX.....	197
<i>Methods</i>	197
<i>Results</i>	197
Histology	197
Pre-operative acquisition	200
Baseline post-operative performance.....	200
Effect of omitting all delays.....	200
<i>Summary</i>	201
EXPERIMENT 2. EFFECTS OF LESIONS OF MEDIAL PREFRONTAL CORTEX.....	203
<i>Methods</i>	203
<i>Results</i>	203
Histology	203
Body mass	206
Pre-operative acquisition	206
Baseline post-operative performance.....	206
Effect of omitting all delays.....	207
Locomotor activity in a novel environment	207
<i>Summary</i>	207
EXPERIMENT 3. EFFECTS OF LESIONS OF THE NUCLEUS ACCUMBENS CORE.....	209
<i>Methods</i>	209
Food consumption tests	209
<i>Results</i>	209
Histology	209
Body mass	211
Pre-operative acquisition	211
Baseline post-operative performance.....	211
Effect of omitting all delays (ABAB design)	213
Effect of omitting all delays (AAABBB design).....	213
Prolonged training without delays, and subsequent reintroduction of delays	214
Locomotor activity in a novel environment	216
Food consumption tests	216
<i>Summary</i>	217
EXPERIMENT 4. EFFECTS OF INTRA-ACCUMBENS AMPHETAMINE ON CHOICE OF SIGNALLED AND UNSIGNALLED DELAYED REINFORCEMENT.....	218
<i>Methods</i>	218
Abbreviated delayed-reinforcement task for intracranial infusions	218
<i>Results</i>	218
Histology	218
Acquisition and baseline performance.....	220
Re-establishment of baseline performance following surgery.....	220
Effects of intra-accumbens amphetamine on choice	220
Effects of intra-accumbens amphetamine on latencies and nosepoking behaviour.....	222
<i>Summary</i>	223
DISCUSSION.....	224

<i>Effects of anterior cingulate cortex lesions</i>	224
<i>Effects of medial prefrontal cortex lesions</i>	225
Contingency perception.....	225
Timing ability	225
<i>Effects of nucleus accumbens core lesions</i>	225
Primary motivational changes.....	226
Altered sensitivity to reinforcer magnitude or delay?	226
Hyperactivity and impulsivity: behavioural comparison to models of ADHD	229
Implications for theories of nucleus accumbens function	230
<i>Effects of intra-accumbens amphetamine</i>	231
<i>Autoshaping and impulsivity</i>	232
<i>The possible role of other structures connected to the nucleus accumbens core</i>	233
<i>Conclusions</i>	234
CHAPTER 8. GENERAL DISCUSSION	235
<i>Introduction</i>	235
<i>Summary of results</i>	235
Role of the anterior cingulate cortex in Pavlovian conditioning.....	235
Role of the nucleus accumbens core and shell in response-specific Pavlovian–instrumental transfer	235
Behavioural tasks used to assess preference for delayed reinforcement.....	236
Effects of d-amphetamine, α -flupentixol, and chlordiazepoxide on preference for signalled and unsignalled delayed reinforcement.....	236
Neural basis of preference for delayed reinforcement	236
<i>Anterior cingulate cortex function</i>	237
<i>Theories of learning and choice with delayed reward</i>	239
<i>Theories of nucleus accumbens function and the neural basis of delayed reward</i>	241
1. The striatum as a switching device	241
2. Acute modulation of striatal function by dopamine	244
3. The striatum and learning	244
4. Dopaminergic effects on striatal learning; implications for addiction.....	245
5. Incorporation of the present findings relating to delayed reinforcement	247
<i>Reinforcement learning in the brain: an integrative view</i>	248
REFERENCES	250

Preface

The following work was carried out at the Department of Experimental Psychology, University of Cambridge, during the years of 1997–2000, under the supervision of Professor Barry J. Everitt.

I hereby declare that this dissertation has not been submitted, in whole or in part, for any other degree, diploma or qualification at any other University. This dissertation is the result of my own work and includes nothing that is the outcome of work done in collaboration. I have attempted to reference appropriately any idea or finding that is not my own.

This dissertation does not exceed the limit of length specified by the Degree Committee for Biology, as stated in the Memorandum to Graduate Students.

Abstract

This thesis investigated the role played by regions of the prefrontal cortex and ventral striatum in the control of rats' behaviour by Pavlovian conditioned stimuli, and in their capacity to choose delayed reinforcement.

First, the function of the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) in simple Pavlovian conditioning tasks was addressed. The ACC is a subdivision of prefrontal cortex that has previously been suggested to be critical for the formation of stimulus–reward associations. It was found that lesions of the ACC did not prevent rats from learning a simple conditioned approach response to a conditioned stimulus (CS) predictive of food reward, or from utilizing that CS as a conditioned reinforcer subsequently. Additionally, these subjects successfully acquired a conditioned freezing response to a CS predicting footshock. However, the same animals were impaired at the acquisition of autoshaped behaviour, an impairment that has been demonstrated previously. An autoshaping deficit was also observed when lesions were made following training. The phenomenon of Pavlovian–instrumental transfer was intact in these subjects. The hypothesis was developed that the ACC is not critical for the formation of stimulus–reward associations *per se*, but is critical when multiple stimuli must be discriminated on the basis of their differential association with reward. In support of this hypothesis, animals with lesions of the ACC were impaired on a version of the conditioned approach task in which a second, neutral stimulus, perceptually similar to the CS, was added; the lesioned subjects exhibited reduced discrimination.

Second, the role of the nucleus accumbens (Acb) in Pavlovian–instrumental transfer was investigated. The nucleus accumbens core, together with a larger amygdalar–striatal network of which it is a component, has previously been shown to be necessary for the expression of ‘simple’ Pavlovian–instrumental transfer. Rats with lesions of the nucleus accumbens core (AcbC) and shell (AcbSh) were tested on a ‘response–specific’ Pavlovian–instrumental transfer task, in which a Pavlovian CS selectively enhances instrumental responding for the outcome with which the CS was originally paired. AcbC lesions impaired the response specificity of this effect, while AcbSh lesions abolished Pavlovian–instrumental transfer entirely. These results are consistent with some — but not all — previous results in suggesting that the shell provides ‘vigour’ and the core provides ‘direction’ for the potentiation of behaviour by Pavlovian CSs.

Third, an attempt was made to train rats on a task for assessing preference for delayed reinforcement, using the ‘adjusting-delay’ paradigm. It was not immediately apparent that the rats reacted to the contingencies operative in this task, and mathematical analysis of their behaviour was conducted to establish whether their behaviour was sensitive to the delay, and what ‘molar’ features of performance on this task could be explained by delay-independent processes.

Fourth, a different delayed reinforcement choice task was developed, modifying a previously published task in which the subject is repeatedly offered a choice, in discrete trials, of a small reward delivered immediately, and a large reward delivered after a delay, with the delays systematically varied by the experimenter. Rats were trained on versions of this task in which the large, delayed reinforcer was or was not explicitly signalled by a cue present during the delay. The behavioural basis of performance on this task was examined, and *d*-amphetamine, chlordiazepoxide, and α -flupentixol were administered systemically. It was found that the effects of *d*-amphetamine depended on whether the delayed reinforcer was signalled or unsignalled, increasing preference for signalled delayed reinforcement at some doses, but decreasing preference for unsignalled delayed reinforcement. These results may resolve contradictions in the literature, and are suggested to reflect the known effect of amphetamine to potentiate responding for conditioned reinforcers.

Fifth, rats that had been trained on this task (with no explicit signals present during the delay) were given lesions of the ACC, AcbC, or medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC). ACC-lesioned rats were no different from sham-operated controls in their ability to choose a large, delayed reinforcer. Lesions of mPFC reduced the tendency of subjects to shift from one lever to the other during the course of a session, but mPFC-lesioned subjects responded normally to removal of the delays, suggesting a loss of stimulus control. However, rats with lesions of the AcbC were severely impaired on this task, preferring the small, immediate reward, even though they discriminated the reinforcers. Additionally, the effects of intra-Acb amphetamine were assessed using a different version of the delayed reinforcement choice task, and found to have slight but inconsistent effects to reduce preference for the delayed reinforcer, though this effect did not depend on whether the delayed reward was signalled or unsignalled. These results suggest that the AcbC contributes significantly to the rat's ability to choose a delayed reward, a finding that has important implications for the understanding of Acb function. It is suggested that dysfunction of the AcbC may be a key element in the pathology of impulsivity.

Acknowledgements

First, I would like to thank Barry Everitt, who has been the best supervisor I could have wished for. His support, guidance, and encouragement made it all possible. I would also like to thank Trevor Robbins for his enthusiastic and insightful advice and support.

Thanks to John Parkinson for showing me the true path, for alcohol, for the coffee mornings of science. Thanks to Jeremy Hall for all those discussions, for showing me the many uses of electricity, for dinners and Withnail. Thanks to Tony Dickinson for explaining things patiently, and to Nick Mackintosh, not only for making available the facilities of his department, but for introducing me to the world of animal learning theory several years ago.

Thanks to Caroline Morrison for being a true friend and for knowing All about histology. Thanks to Anastasia Christakou for all the dinner excursions. Thanks to Cella Olmstead for getting me started and to Tim Bussey and Lisa Saksida for advice. Thanks to Nathaniel Daw for intellectual input, for running rats, and for beers underground. Thanks to all those others who helped run rats and were fun to work with: Guillaume Lachenal, Hosnieh Djafari Marbini, Andy Toner, David Pennicott, and Lakmali Sugathapala.

Thanks to Helen Sweet-Gossage for help with histology and instruction in the ways of the fen, to Rachel Underwood for histology, and to Simon Howes for teaching me stereotaxic surgery and many facts about cars.

Thanks to those others in the lab who made it such a fun place to be and enlivened trips from it, including Mercedes Arroyo, Maria Pilla, Pat di Ciano, Dan Hutcheson, and Kerrie Thomas.

Thanks to all those who looked after the animals so well, especially Mark Pauley and Julie Gautrey. Thanks to John Bashford, Hubert Jackson, Ian Cannell, John Picton, and John Baldwin, for help with photomicrography, matters electrical, slide presentation, metalwork, and computer networking respectively. I would also like to thank those researchers who took time to answer a stranger's questions so thoroughly, in particular Chris Bradshaw, James Mazur, John Evenden, and David Howell.

I am eternally grateful to those who taught me years ago and set me on this path; in particular, to Ian Gordon, Clare Mackay, Jane Lloyd, Duncan Lord, David Mansfield (sadly deceased), Geoff Cooke, Brian Dallaway, Bill Wright, and Tim Gill.

Thanks to Mark Rawlings, Carsten Zatschler, Ellen Rawlinson, and Rowan Cruft for more than I could ever repay. Thanks to Catrin Thomas for being there and putting up with so much. Thanks to Rob Milner, Paul Milligan, and Charles Offer for being fun to live with, to Sonia Zakrzewski for drinks and geckos, and to everyone else in and around Cambridge who partied. Thanks to the hundreds of composers, musicians, authors, and film directors who entertained me over the last three years. Finally, thanks to Ann and John Cardinal for everything.

Publications

To date, the following publications have arisen in whole or in part from this thesis:

Abstracts

1. **Cardinal RN, Everitt BJ, Robbins TW** (1999). Amphetamine interacts with cue stimuli to affect preference for delayed reinforcement. *Behavioural Pharmacology* **10** (supplement 1): S15–S16. (First Congress of the Behavioral Pharmacology Society and European Behavioural Pharmacology Society, 1–4 September 1999, Boston, Massachusetts, USA.)
2. **Cardinal RN, Lachenal G, Parkinson JA, Robbins TW, Everitt BJ** (2000). Effects of anterior cingulate cortex lesions on responding for conditioned reinforcement, discrete fear conditioning, autosshaping performance and Pavlovian–instrumental transfer. *European Journal of Neuroscience* **12** (supplement 11): 88 (abstract 44.8). (Federation of European Neuroscience Societies Second Forum Meeting, 24–28 June 2000, Brighton, UK.)
3. **Cardinal RN, Parkinson JA, Robbins TW, Dickinson A, Everitt BJ** (2000). Effects of lesions of the nucleus accumbens core and shell on response-specific Pavlovian–instrumental transfer. *Journal of Psychopharmacology* **14**(3) (supplement): A68 (abstract PH20). (British Association for Psychopharmacology Summer Meeting, 16–19 July 2000, Cambridge, UK.)
4. **Cardinal RN, Parkinson JA, Djafari Marbini H, Toner AJ, Robbins TW, Everitt BJ** (2000). Role of the anterior cingulate cortex in the control over behaviour by Pavlovian conditioned stimuli in rats. *Society for Neuroscience Abstracts* **26**: 980. (Society for Neuroscience 30th Annual Meeting, 4–9 November 2000, New Orleans, Louisiana, USA; abstract #366.13.)
5. **Everitt BJ, Parkinson JA, Lachenal G, Halkerston KM, Rudarakanchana N, Cardinal RN, Hall J, Morrison CH, Dalley JW, Howes SR, Robbins TW** (2000). Effects of limbic cortico-striatal lesions on autosshaping performance in rats. *Society for Neuroscience Abstracts* **26**: 979. (SFN New Orleans; abstract #366.12.)

Papers and book chapters

1. **Everitt BJ, Cardinal RN, Hall J, Parkinson JA, Robbins TW** (2000). Differential involvement of amygdala subsystems in appetitive conditioning and drug addiction. In Aggleton JP (ed.), *The amygdala: a functional analysis* (second edition). Oxford University Press, New York (ISBN 0198505019), pp. 353–390.
2. **Parkinson JA, Cardinal RN, Everitt BJ** (2000). Limbic cortical-ventral striatal systems underlying appetitive conditioning. *Progress in Brain Research* **126**: 263–285. Chapter 17 of Uylings HBM, van Eden CG, de Bruin JPC, Feenstra MGP, Pennartz CMA (eds), *Cognition, emotion and autonomic responses: The integrative role of prefrontal cortex and limbic structures*. Elsevier, Amsterdam (ISBN 0444503323).
3. **Cardinal RN, Robbins TW, Everitt BJ** (2000). The effects of *d*-amphetamine, chlordiazepoxide, alpha-flupenthixol and behavioural manipulations on choice of signalled and unsignalled delayed reinforcement in rats. *Psychopharmacology* **152**: 362–375. (Available online via digital object identifier at <<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s002130000536>> or at <<http://link.springer.de/>>.)

Abbreviations used in this thesis

1. Pharmacology and chemistry

5-HIAA	5-hydroxyindoleacetic acid
5-HT	5-hydroxytryptamine (serotonin)
6-OHDA	6-hydroxydopamine
CCK	cholecystokinin
DA	dopamine
GABA	γ -aminobutyric acid
LiCl	lithium chloride
NMDA	<i>N</i> -methyl-D-aspartate
PB	phosphate buffer
PBS	phosphate-buffered saline

2. Psychology

CPP	conditioned place preference
CR	conditioned response
CRf	conditioned reinforcer
CS	conditioned stimulus
CS-	negative conditioned stimulus (i.e. predicts absence of stimulus)
CS+	positive, or predictive conditioned stimulus (be it appetitive or aversive)
CS ₀	neutral conditioned stimulus (strictly uncorrelated with stimulus)
CVD	conditional visual discrimination
ext	extinction
FI	fixed interval
FR	fixed ratio
IRI	interreinforcement interval
IRT	interresponse time
ISI	interstimulus interval
ITI	intertrial interval
NCRf	not-conditioned-reinforcer (e.g. a lever that produces no response; a control)
NS	not significant
OR	orienting response
PIT	Pavlovian to instrumental transfer
RI	random interval
RT	random time
S	subject (in analysis of variance notation); stimulus
UR	unconditioned response
US	unconditioned stimulus
VI	variable interval
VR	variable ratio

3. Neurobiology and medicine

ADHD	attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder
APCR	amphetamine potentiation of conditioned reinforcement
fMRI	functional magnetic resonance imaging
i.c.v.	intracerebroventricular
i.p.	intraperitoneal
i.v.	intravenous
IVSA	intravenous self-administration
MRI	magnetic resonance imaging (= nuclear magnetic resonance, NMR)
NMR	nictitating membrane reflex (eye-blink), typically in the rabbit
OCD	obsessive-compulsive disorder
PET	positron emission tomography
EEG	electroencephalogram
ERN	error-related negativity

4. Neuroanatomy

Abbreviations are those used by Paxinos & Watson (1996), except for those marked (*).

Acb	nucleus accumbens
AcbC	nucleus accumbens, core
AcbSh	nucleus accumbens, shell
ACC	anterior cingulate cortex (*)
AV	anteroventral nucleus of the thalamus
BLA	basolateral amygdala (BL) (*)
CeA	central amygdaloid nucleus (Ce) (*)
Cg1	cingulate cortex, area 1
Cg2	cingulate cortex, area 2
CPu	caudate putamen (striatum)
DLPFC	dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (*)
DS	dorsal striatum (*)
LC	locus coeruleus
LH	lateral hypothalamic area
MD	mediodorsal nucleus of the thalamus
NBM	nucleus basalis magnocellularis (nucleus of Meynert) (*)
OFC	orbitofrontal cortex (*)
OMPFC	orbitomedial prefrontal cortex (*)
PAG	periaqueductal grey
PCC	posterior cingulate cortex (*)
PFC	prefrontal cortex (*)
PRh	perirhinal cortex
SN	substantia nigra
SNC	substantia nigra pars compacta
SNr	substantia nigra pars reticulata
Sub	subiculum (S) (*)
VP	ventral pallidum
VS	ventral striatum (comprising Acb, ventromedial portions of caudate/putamen, olfactory tubercle) (*)

VSub	ventral subiculum (*)
VTA	ventral tegmental area

5. Physical units

These may be prefixed with k (kilo-, 10^3); c (centi-, 10^{-2}); m (milli-, 10^{-3}); μ (micro-, 10^{-6}).

A	amp ($C\ s^{-1}$)
C	coulomb
cd	candela
g	gram
h	hour (3600 s)
Hz	Hertz (s^{-1})
l	litre ($10^{-3}\ m^3$)
m	metre
M	molar ($mol\ l^{-1}$)
min	minute (60 s)
mol	mole ($\approx 6.022 \times 10^{23}$)
N	Newton ($kg\ m\ s^{-2}$)
s	second
W	watt ($kg\ m^2\ s^{-3}$)

6. Statistics and probability

*	significant at $\alpha = 0.05$
**	significant at $\alpha = 0.01$
***	significant at $\alpha = 0.001$
ANOVA	analysis of variance
F	F statistic: the ratio of $MS_{treatment}$ to MS_{error}
MS	mean square
P(X / Y)	the probability of X, given that Y is true
P, p	probability
SD	standard deviation
SE	standard error
SED	standard error of the difference (between means)
SEM	standard error of the mean
α	threshold for determining statistical significance
$\tilde{\epsilon}$	Huynh–Feldt epsilon
μ	mean

7. General

\emptyset	diameter
$\sqrt{ }$	square root
W, D, H	(when referring to dimensions) width, depth, height
p. / pp.	page / pages